

Page 6

Remarks

This is filed in response to the Examiner Communication mailed March 7, 2005, and in replacement of the Supplemental Reply to Office Action and Interview Summary, mailed October 26, 2004. Further to the Examiner Interview conducted October 5, 2004, the claims are amended in a manner consistent with that discussed at Interview. Entry of the amendments is requested so that this application can be passed forward to issuance.

Interview Summary

The aforementioned interview as conducted on October 5, 2004, as indicated above. Telephonically present were Examiner Knowlin, Supervisory Examiner Matar, Allen Hertz (of assignee, Coppercom) and the undersigned. Applicant's representatives explained the embodiments described in the application as they pertained to the claimed invention. They also pointed out distinctions between the claimed invention and the cited art, namely, the Chang reference. As noted earlier, it is Applicant's view that it provides little disclosure relevant to those claims. In order to speed prosecution of this application, the undersigned indicated that he would forward amendments calling out additional features shown, for example, in Figures 38 of this application. The amendments herein do just that

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, the Applicant therefor respectfully requests that all pending rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn, and that this application be passed forward to issuance.

Respectfully submitted,
NUPTER, McCLENNEN & FISH, LLP


David J. Powsner
Reg. No. 31,868

4/7/05

Attorney for Applicant
World Trade Center West
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, MA 02210
Tel: (617)439-2717
Fax: (617)310-9717